

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/891,787	09/891,787 06/26/2001		Carl Nelson Skold	4399		
;	7590	01/14/2003			•	
CARL SKOLD				EXAMINER		
2487 DELL AVENUE MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94043				SCHLAK, I	SCHLAK, DANIEL K	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3653		

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	•						
æ,	Offic Action Summany	09/891,787	SKOLD, CARL NELSON				
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAU INC DATE of this communication a	Daniel K Schlak	3653 Correspond nce address				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address Period f r Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	Description (a) filed on (c)	1 November 2002					
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	This action is non-final.					
2a) ☐			prosecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
	Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	t de la compant					
	Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
	on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  al Patent Application (PTO-152)				



Application/Control Number: 09/891,787

Art Unit: 3653

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-35 and 52, drawn to method for separating a target material from a suspension, classified in class 148, subclass 240.
- II. Claims 36-43, drawn to method for aging and converting to colloid, classified in class 516, subclass unknown (will be determined upon election of this group, as the current Examiner is unfamiliar with anything of this nature).
- III. Claims 44-46 drawn to method of reducing with a reducing agent, classified in class 252, subclass 188.1+.
- IV. Claims 47-51, drawn to a polysaccharide, classified in class 536, subclass 123.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as targeting material with a pendant functional group which is part of a coating on a magnetic core. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are



Application/Control Number: 09/891,787

Art Unit: 3653

shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as targeting material with a pendant functional group which is part of a coating on a magnetic core. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have absolutely nothing to do with each other. Group I is a method for separating, and group IV is a polysaccharide. Alternatively, in the event applicant feels they are not unrelated, they surely are not the same invention, and thus: inventions I and IV are related as product and process of use or process of making. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product or achieved by a process other than the one described (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method can be performed without a polysaccharide altogether.

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as aging a mixture, while invention III has a separate utility such as reducing an azide group. See MPEP § 806.05(d).



Art Unit: 3653

Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not capable of use together, as group II mentions nothing of a polysaccharide, and group IV mentions nothing of aging, dispersing ions in presence of a base, converting to a colloid, etc.

Inventions IV and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process could be realized without pendant carboxyl groups, with a different ratio of heteroatoms to carbons from group IV, while the polysaccharide could be used in a method not including a reducing agent and an azide group.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any one of the groups is not required for any of the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/891,787

Art Unit: 3653

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Note from Examiner

Please note that the traversal in the election received 11/1/02 was heeded, which resulted in prior Groups I and II now being grouped together in a single Group I. The prior groups were merely different in that prior Group I recites magnetically separating the target material. However, the remainder of the groups are still deemed separable from each other and from new Group I.

Thus, the restriction requirement is imposed afresh, as the re-grouping of subject matter makes the prior election by applicant moot. Applicant is here offered the opportunity to make a new election, if he so desires.

As for the traversal of the grounds for rejection which are directed at the separability of the further claims, Applicant is directed to the above remarks which set forth office policy in restriction groups.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel K Schlak whose telephone number is 703-305-0885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/891,787

Art Unit: 3653

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh can be reached on 703-306 - 4173. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-306-4195 for regular communications and 703-306-4195 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308 - 1113.

DONALD P. WALSH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600